

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,741	01/19/2006	Derek Turner	25266-101943	5881
28886 CLARK HILL,	7590 10/20/200 P.C	EXAMINER		
500 WOODWA	ARD AVENUE, SUITI	MAI, HAO D		
DETROIT, MI	48226		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/539,741	TURNER, DEREK		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HAO D. MAI	3732		

	HAO D. MAI	3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affida al (with appeal fee) in compliand	of Appeal. To avoid abar wit, or other evidence, v e with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mail b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TI	ing date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amous nortened statutory period for reply or	nt of the fee. The appropri- iginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 	sideration and/or search (see N		cause				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 		educing or simplifying t	he issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.3-7.11-14 and 35-39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	does NOT place the application	in condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Cris L. Rodriguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732	/Hao D Mai/ Examiner, Art Unit 373	2					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly recited claimed language "non-complementary to" or the deletion of "complementary to" essentially broadens the claim(s) and/or present new issue(s) that would require further search and/or consideration.